

# INJECTIVE HULLS OF SIMPLE MODULES OVER FINITE DIMENSIONAL NILPOTENT COMPLEX LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

CAN HATİPOĞLU AND CHRISTIAN LOMP

**ABSTRACT.** We show that the finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose injective hulls of simple  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are locally Artinian are precisely those whose even part  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is isomorphic to a nilpotent Lie algebra with an abelian ideal of codimension 1 or to a direct product of an abelian Lie algebra and a certain 5-dimensional or a certain 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Injective modules are the building blocks in the theory of Noetherian rings. Matlis showed that any indecomposable injective module over a commutative Noetherian ring is isomorphic to the injective hull  $E(R/P)$  of some prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . He also showed that any injective hull of a simple module is Artinian (see [14] and [15, Proposition 3]). In connection with the Jacobson Conjecture for Noetherian rings Jategaonkar showed in [11] (see also [6, 21]) that the injective hulls of simple modules are locally Artinian provided the ring  $R$  is fully bounded Noetherian (FBN). This lead him to answer the Jacobson Conjecture in the affirmative for FBN rings. Recall that a module is called *locally Artinian* if every finitely generated submodule of it is Artinian. After Jategaonkar's result the question arose whether the condition

( $\diamond$ ) Injective hulls of simple right  $A$ -modules are locally Artinian

was sufficient to prove an affirmative answer of the Jacobson Conjecture which quickly turned out to be not the case. However property ( $\diamond$ ) remained a subtle condition for Noetherian rings whose meaning is not yet fully understood. Property ( $\diamond$ ) says that all finitely generated essential extensions of simple right  $A$ -modules are Artinian. And in case  $A$  is right Noetherian property ( $\diamond$ ) is equivalent to the condition that the class of semi-Artinian right  $A$ -modules, i.e. modules  $M$  that are the union of their socle series, is closed under essential extensions.

For algebras related to  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  the condition has been examined in [7, 4, 5, 19]. One of the first examples of a Noetherian domain that does not satisfy ( $\diamond$ ) had been found by Ian Musson in [18] concluding that whenever  $\mathfrak{g}$  a finite dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie algebra, then  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  does not satisfy property ( $\diamond$ ). It is then natural to ask for which finite dimensional complex nilpotent Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  its enveloping algebra satisfies ( $\diamond$ ). We will answer this question completely and will show that those Lie algebras are close to abelian Lie algebras. Slightly more general we can prove our Main Theorem for Lie superalgebras:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The following statement are equivalent for a finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ :*

- (a) *Finitely generated essential extensions of simple  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules are Artinian.*
- (b) *Finitely generated essential extensions of simple  $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ -modules are Artinian.*
- (c)  *$\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - 2$ , where  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  denotes the index of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .*
- (d) *Up to a central abelian direct factor  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is isomorphic*
  - (i) *to a nilpotent Lie algebra with abelian ideal of codimension 1;*

---

Research funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the programme COMPETE and by the Portuguese Government through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia under the project PEst-C/MAT/UI0144/2011. The first author was supported by the grant SFRH/BD/33696/2009.

- (ii) to the 5-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_5$  with basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$  and nonzero brackets given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_2, e_3] = e_5.$$

- (iii) to the 6-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_6$  with basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$  and nonzero brackets given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_4] = e_5, [e_2, e_4] = e_6.$$

Together with Musson's solvable counter example we have a characterisation of finite dimensional complex solvable Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies condition  $(\diamond)$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional solvable complex Lie algebra.  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic up to an abelian direct factor to a Lie algebra with an abelian ideal of codimension 1 or to  $\mathfrak{h}_5$  or to  $\mathfrak{h}_6$ .*

The proof of the main Theorem is organized in four steps. In the first step we show that Noetherian rings whose primitive ideals contain non-zero ideals with a normalizing set of generators satisfy  $(\diamond)$ , if all of its primitive factors satisfy property  $(\diamond)$ . In a second step we verify that ideals of the enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  have a supercentralizing set of generators, which together with the first step shifts our problem to the study of primitive factors of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . In the third step we combine the description of primitive factors of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  given by A.Bell and I.Musson as tensor products of the form  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_p(\mathbb{C})$  with a result of T.Stafford that says that the only Weyl algebra  $A_p(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $(\diamond)$  is the first Weyl algebra. A result by E.Herscovich shows that the order  $p$  of possible Weyl algebras appearing in the primitive factors of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is determined by the index  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  of the underlying even part  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which in our case imposes  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 - 2$ . The last step lists all finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$ .

## 2. NOETHERIAN RINGS WITH ENOUGH NORMAL ELEMENTS

The purpose of this section is to examine the influence that normal elements have on property  $(\diamond)$ . Recall that a module  $M$  is a subdirect product of a family of modules  $\{F_\lambda\}_\Lambda$  if there exists an embedding  $\iota : M \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F_\lambda$  into a product of the modules  $F_\lambda$  such that for each projection  $\pi_\mu : \prod F_\lambda \rightarrow F_\mu$  the composition  $\pi_\mu \iota$  is surjective. Compare the next result with [10, Theorem 1.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *A ring  $R$  has property  $(\diamond)$  if and only if every left  $R$ -module is a subdirect product of locally Artinian modules.*

*Proof.* A standard fact in module theory [23, 14.9] says that every module is a subdirect product of factor modules that are essential extensions of a simple module<sup>1</sup>. Since property  $(\diamond)$  is equivalent to subdirectly irreducible modules to be locally Artinian, the Lemma follows.  $\square$

A ring extension  $R \subseteq S$  is said to be a *finite normalizing extension* if there exists a finite set  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  of elements of  $S$  such that  $S = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i R$  and  $a_i R = R a_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, k$ . The following is an adaption of Hirano's result [10, 1.8]:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be a finite normalizing extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  then so does  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a nonzero left  $S$ -module. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a family  $\{N_\lambda\}$  of  $R$ -submodules of  $M$  such that  $M/N_\lambda$  is locally Artinian for all  $\lambda$  and  $\bigcap_\lambda N_\lambda = 0$ . For any  $R$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$  denote the largest  $S$ -submodule of  $M$  contained in  $N$  by  $b(N)$  (called the bound of  $N$  in [17]). In fact,  $b(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^k a_i^{-1} N$ , where

$$a_i^{-1} N = \{m \in M \mid a_i m \in N\}.$$

<sup>1</sup>those modules occur in the literature under various names like *subdirectly irreducible*, *cocyclic*, *colocal* or *monolithic*

Since  $b(N_\lambda) \subseteq N_\lambda$ , we certainly have  $\bigcap_\lambda b(N_\lambda) = 0$ . By [17, 10.1.6], there is a lattice embedding of  $R$ -modules  $\mathcal{L}(M/b(N_\lambda)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M/N_\lambda)$  which implies also that  $b(N_\lambda)$  is locally Artinian. Hence  $M$  is a subdirect product of locally Artinian  $S$ -modules.  $\square$

As a consequence we have the following.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $C$  be a finite dimensional algebra and  $A$  be any algebra. If  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  then  $C \otimes A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  too.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a basis of  $C$ . Then we have  $C \otimes A = \sum_i^n (x_i \otimes 1)A$  where each  $x_i \otimes 1$  is a normal element and so  $C \otimes A$  is a finite normalizing extension of  $A$  and hence it satisfies  $(\diamond)$  by Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

A set of elements  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of a ring  $R$  is called a *normalizing (resp. centralizing) set* if for each  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$  the image of  $x_{j+1}$  in  $R/\sum_{i=1}^j x_i R$  is a normal (resp. central) element. McConnell showed in [16] that every ideal in the enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra has a centralizing generator set. In the next section we will show a super version of his result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian algebra,  $E$  be a simple  $A$ -module and  $E \leq M$  be an essential extension of left  $A$ -modules. Let  $Q \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(E)$  be an ideal of  $A$  that has a normalizing set of generators. Then  $M$  is Artinian if and only if  $M' = \text{Ann}_M(Q)$  is Artinian.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the number of elements of the generating set of  $Q$ . Suppose  $Q = \langle x_1 \rangle$  with  $x_1$  being a normal element. Define a map  $f : M \rightarrow M$  by  $f(m) = x_1 m$ . This map is  $Z(A)$ -linear and preserves  $A$ -submodules of  $M$  because if  $U \leq M$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $A \cdot f(U) = Ax_1 U = x_1 AU = x_1 U = f(U)$  and so  $f(U)$  is an  $A$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $Q$  is generated by a normal element it satisfies the Artin-Rees property (see [17, 4.1.10]) and so there exists a natural number  $n > 0$  such that  $Q^n M = x_1^n M = 0$ . In other words  $\text{Ker}(f^n) = M$ . Hence we have a finite filtration

$$0 \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ann}_M(Q) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f^2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq \text{Ker}(f^{n-1}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f^n) = M$$

whose subfactors are  $A/Q$ -modules and  $f$  induces a submodule preserving chain of embeddings

$$M/\text{Ker}(f^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(f^{n-1})/\text{Ker}(f^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(f^2)/\text{Ker}(f) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker}(f).$$

Hence  $M$  is Artinian if and only if  $M' = \text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ann}_M(Q)$  is Artinian. Now let  $n > 0$  and suppose that the assertion holds for all Noetherian algebras and finitely generated essential extensions  $E \subseteq M$  of simple left  $A$ -modules  $E$  such that  $\text{Ann}_A(E)$  contains an ideal  $Q$  which has a normalizing set of generators with less than  $n$  elements. Let  $E \subseteq M$  be a finitely generated essential extension of a simple  $A$ -module such that  $Q \subseteq \text{Ann}_A(E)$  has a normalizing set of generators  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $n$  elements. Consider the submodule  $M' = \text{Ann}_M(x_1)$ . Since  $x_1$  is a normal element, we can apply the same procedure to conclude that  $M$  is Artinian if and only if  $M'$  is Artinian. Let  $A' = A/Ax_1$  and  $Q' = Q/Ax_1$ . Then  $Q' \subseteq \text{Ann}_{A'}(E)$  is generated by the set  $\{\overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  of normalizing elements, where  $\overline{x_i}$  is the image of  $x_i$  in  $A'$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Now,  $E \leq M'$  is an essential extension of  $A'$ -modules such that  $Q'E = 0$ . Since  $Q'$  is generated by a normalizing set of  $n-1$  elements, by the induction hypotheses we conclude that  $M$  is Artinian if and only if  $\text{Ann}_{M'}(Q') = \text{Ann}_M(Q)$  is Artinian as  $A'$ -modules and hence also as  $A$ -modules.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a Noetherian algebra such that every primitive ideal  $P$  of  $A$  contains an ideal  $Q \subseteq P$  which has a normalizing set of generators and  $A/Q$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . Then  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a simple  $A$ -module,  $P = \text{Ann}_A(E)$  and let  $E \leq M$  be a finitely generated essential extension of  $E$ . Let  $M' = \text{Ann}_M(Q)$ , where  $Q \subseteq P$  is an ideal that has a normalizing set of generators and with  $A/Q$  satisfying  $(\diamond)$ . Then  $E \leq M'$  is a finitely generated essential extension of  $A/Q$ -modules and so  $M'$  is Artinian because  $A/Q$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . Since by Lemma 2.4  $M'$  is Artinian if and only if  $M$  is Artinian, it follows that  $M$  is Artinian and  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .  $\square$

Recall that a **superalgebra** is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ . We denote by  $|a|$  the degree of a homogeneous element of  $A$ . When referring to graded ideals  $I$  of  $A$  we mean ideals  $I = I_0 \oplus I_1$  that are graded with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $A$ . Given any ideal  $P$  of  $A$  it is easy to see that  $Q = P \cap \sigma(P)$  is a graded ideal where  $\sigma$  denotes the automorphism :

$$\sigma : A \rightarrow A \quad a_0 + a_1 \mapsto a_0 - a_1 \quad \forall a_0 \in A_0, a_1 \in A_1.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian superalgebra such that every primitive ideal is maximal and every graded primitive ideal is generated by a normalizing set of generators. Then  $A$  satisfies property  $(\diamond)$  if and only if every primitive factor of  $A$  does.*

*Proof.* The part  $(\Rightarrow)$  is clear since the property  $(\diamond)$  is inherited by factor rings.

$(\Leftarrow)$  Suppose that every primitive factor of  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . Let  $E$  be a simple  $A$ -module,  $P = \text{Ann}_A(E)$ , and let  $E \leq M$  be an essential extension of  $E$ .  $P$  is maximal by assumption. The ideal  $Q = P \cap \sigma(P)$  is graded and has a normalizing set of generators by assumption. If  $P$  is graded, then  $P = Q$  and  $A/Q$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  by hypothesis.

If  $P$  is not graded, then  $P \neq \sigma(P)$  and as  $P$  is maximal,  $A = P + \sigma(P)$ . Hence  $A/Q \simeq A/P \times A/\sigma(P)$ . Note that any left  $A/Q$ -module  $M$  can be written as a direct sum  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  of an  $A/P$ -module  $M_1$  and an  $A/\sigma(P)$ -module  $M_2$ . Thus if  $E'$  is a simple  $A/Q$ -module and  $M'$  is a finitely generated essential extension of  $E'$  as  $A/Q$ -module,  $E' \subseteq M'$  is also a finitely generated essential extension of  $A/P$ - (resp.  $A/\sigma(P)$ -) modules. Since  $A/P$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  and since  $A/P \simeq A/\sigma(P)$ , also  $A/Q$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .

By Lemma 2.5 we conclude that  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .  $\square$

### 3. IDEALS IN ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS OF NILPOTENT LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

McConnell showed in [16] that every ideal of the enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra has a centralizing set of generators. We intend to prove an analogous result for superalgebras.

The **supercommutator** of two homogeneous elements  $a, b$  is the element

$$[[a, b]] := ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$$

and is extended bilinearly to a form  $[[-, -]] : A \rightarrow A$ . The **supercenter** of  $A$  is the set  $Z(A)_s = \{a \in A \mid \forall b \in A : [[a, b]] = 0\}$  and its elements are called **supercentral**. Given a supercentral element  $a \in A$ , the ideal  $I = Aa$  is a graded and  $A/Aa$  is again a superalgebra. We say that a set of elements  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of a superalgebra  $A$  is a *supercentralizing set* if for each  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$  the image of  $x_{j+1}$  in  $A/\sum_{i=1}^j x_i A$  is a supercentral element.

A homogenous superderivation of a superalgebra  $A$  is a linear map  $f : A \rightarrow A$  such that

$$f(ab) = f(a)b + (-1)^{|a||b|}af(b)$$

for all homogeneous  $a, b \in A$ . The supercommutator  $[[a, -]]$  for a homogeneous element is a superderivation. In case  $|a| = 0$ ,  $[[a, -]]$  is a derivation of  $A$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a Lie superalgebra and choose a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and a basis  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . The PBW theorem for Lie superalgebras (see [1]) says that the monomials  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_m^{\beta_m}$  with  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\beta_i \leq 1$  form a basis of the enveloping algebra  $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$ . For  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  let

$$A_i = \text{span}\{x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_m^{\beta_m} \mid \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m = i(\text{mod } 2)\}.$$

Then  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$  is a superalgebra such that the degree of a homogeneous element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  equals its degree in  $A$ . For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the adjoint action of  $x$  on  $A$  is defined by

$$\text{ad}_x : A \rightarrow A \quad \text{ad}_x(a) = [[x, a]] \quad \forall a \in A.$$

By definition of the enveloping algebra we have for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\text{ad}_x(y) = [[x, y]] = [x, y].$$

The following Lemma follows from a direct computation which we carry out for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  one has*

$$(1) \quad \text{ad}_x \circ \text{ad}_y - (-1)^{|x||y|} \text{ad}_y \circ \text{ad}_x = \text{ad}_{[x, y]}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a$  be a homogeneous element of  $A$ ,  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x, \llbracket y, a \rrbracket \rrbracket - (-1)^{|x||y|} \llbracket y, \llbracket x, a \rrbracket \rrbracket \\ &= x(ya - (-1)^{|y||a|} ay) - (-1)^{|x|(|y|+|a|)} (ya - (-1)^{|y||a|} ay)x \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|x||y|} \{y(xa - (-1)^{|x||a|} ax) - (-1)^{|y|(|x|+|a|)} (xa - (-1)^{|x||a|} ax)y\} \\ &= xya + (-1)^{|x||y|+|x||a|+|y||a|} ayx - (-1)^{|x||y|} yxa - (-1)^{|a||y|+|x||a|} axy \\ &= [x, y]a + (-1)^{|a|(|x|+|y|)} a[x, y] = \llbracket [x, y], a \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.2.** *For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , then  $\text{ad}_x^2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $y = x$  in Lemma 3.1, then  $2\text{ad}_x^2 = \text{ad}_{[x, x]} = 0$ . □

Recall that a map  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is called locally nilpotent if for every  $a \in A$  there exists a number  $n(a) \geq 0$  such that  $f^{n(a)}(a) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra. Then  $\text{ad}_x$  is locally nilpotent superderivation of  $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$ , for every homogeneous element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* In case  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  is odd, we see from Corollary 3.2 that  $\text{ad}_x$  is nilpotent. In case  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  is even, then  $\text{ad}_x = \llbracket x, - \rrbracket$  is an ordinary derivation of  $A$ . Let  $r$  be the nilpotency degree of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{g}^r = 0$ . Then for any  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have  $\text{ad}_x^r(a) = 0$ . Let  $m \geq 0$ . Suppose that for every monomial  $a \in A$  of length  $m$  there exists  $n(a) \geq 0$  such that  $\text{ad}_x^{n(a)}(a) = 0$ . Let  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$\text{ad}_x^{n(a)+r}(ay) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(a)+r} \binom{n(a)+r}{i} \text{ad}_x^i(a) \text{ad}_x^{n(a)+r-i}(y) = 0.$$

By induction  $\text{ad}_x$  is locally nilpotent on all basis elements of  $A$ . □

Given an  $l$ -tuple of superderivations  $\partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_l)$  of a superalgebra  $A$  we say that a subset  $X$  of  $A$  is  $\partial$ -stable if  $\partial_i(X) \subseteq X$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Note that if all superderivations  $\partial_i$  are inner, i.e.  $\partial_i = \llbracket x_i, - \rrbracket$  for some homogeneous  $x_i \in A$ , then any ideal  $I$  is  $\partial$ -stable.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a superalgebra with locally nilpotent superderivations  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_l$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^l \ker \partial_i \subseteq Z(A)_s$  and for all  $i \leq j$  there exist  $\lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

$$(2) \quad \partial_i \circ \partial_j - \lambda_{i,j} \partial_j \circ \partial_i \in \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \mathbb{C} \partial_s.$$

*Then any non-zero  $\partial$ -stable ideal  $I$  of  $A$  contains a non-zero supercentral element. In particular if  $I$  is graded and Noetherian, then it contains a supercentralizing set of generators.*

*Proof.* For each  $1 \leq t \leq l$  set  $K_t = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \ker \partial_i$ . We will first show that  $K_i$  are  $\partial$ -stable subalgebras of  $A$ . Let  $1 \leq t, j \leq l$  and  $a \in K_t$ . If  $j \leq t$ , then  $\partial_j(a) = 0 \in K_t$  by definition. Hence suppose  $j > t$ . By hypothesis for any  $1 \leq i \leq t < j$  we have

$$\partial_i(\partial_j(a)) = \lambda_{i,j} \partial_j(\partial_i(a)) + \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j,s} \partial_s(a) = 0$$

for some  $\lambda_{i,j}, \mu_{i,j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $\partial_j(a) \in K_t$ .

To show that  $I$  contains a non-zero element of the supercentre of  $A$  note that since  $\partial_1$  is locally nilpotent, for any  $0 \neq a \in I$  there exists  $n_1 \geq 0$  such that  $0 \neq a' = \partial_1^{n_1}(a) \in \ker \partial_1 = K_1$ . Since  $I$  is  $\partial_1$ -stable,  $a' \in I \cap K_1$ . Suppose  $1 \leq t \leq l$  and  $0 \neq a_t \in I \cap K_t$ , then since  $\partial_{t+1}$  is locally nilpotent, there exists  $n_{t+1} \geq 0$  such that  $0 \neq a' = \partial_{t+1}^{n_{t+1}}(a) \in \ker \partial_{t+1}$ . Since  $I$  and  $K_t$  are  $\partial$ -stable, we have  $a' \in I \cap K_{t+1}$ . Hence for  $t = l$ , we get  $0 \neq I \cap K_t \subseteq I \cap Z(A)_s$ .

Assume that  $I$  is graded and Noetherian and let  $0 \neq a = a_0 + a_1 \in I \cap Z(A)_s$ . Since  $I$  and  $Z(A)_s$  are graded, both parts  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  belong to  $I \cap Z(A)_s$ , one of them being non-zero. Thus we might choose  $a$  to be homogeneous. Let  $J_1 = Aa$  be the graded ideal generated by  $a$ , then all superderivations  $\partial_i$  lift to superderivations of  $A/J_1$  satisfying the same relation (2) as before. Moreover  $I/J_1$  is a graded Noetherian  $\partial$ -stable ideal of  $A/J_1$ . Applying the procedure of obtaining a supercentral element to  $I/J_1$  in  $A/J_1$  yields a supercentral homogeneous element  $a' \in I/J_1 \cap Z((A/J_1)_s)$ . Set  $J_2 = Aa + Aa'$ . Continuing in this way leads to an ascending chain of ideals  $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I$  that eventually has to stop, i.e.  $I = J_m$  for some  $m$ . By construction, the generators used to build up  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m$  form a supercentralizing set of generators for  $I$ .  $\square$

In order to apply the last Proposition to the enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have to choose an appropriate basis of homogeneous elements. Without loss of generality we might assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a refined central series

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^n \supset \mathfrak{g}^{n-1} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{n-2} \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{g}^1 \supset \mathfrak{g}^0 = \{0\}.$$

with  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^i] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$  and  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^i/\mathfrak{g}^{i-1}) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that each element  $x_i + \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$  is non-zero (and hence forms a basis) in  $\mathfrak{g}^i/\mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$ . Actually each  $x_i$  is homogeneous, since if  $x_i = x_{i0} + x_{i1}$  with  $x_{ij}$  homogeneous, then as  $x_{i0}$  and  $x_{i1}$  cannot be linearly independent as  $\mathfrak{g}^i/\mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$  is 1-dimensional, one of them belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Any graded ideal of the enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra has a supercentralizing set of generators.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$  be as above, as well as the chosen basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$   $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of homogeneous elements. Set  $\partial_i = \text{ad}_{x_i}$ . By Proposition 3.3 all superderivations  $\partial_i$  are locally nilpotent. Let  $i < j$ , then  $[x_i, x_j] \in \mathfrak{g}^{i-1}$  show that there are scalars  $\mu_{i,j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j,s} x_s.$$

Note that  $\text{ad}_{[x_i, x_j]} = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j,s} \text{ad}_{x_s}$ . Therefore, using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\partial_i \circ \partial_j = (-1)^{|x_i||x_j|} \partial_j \circ \partial_i + \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} \mu_{i,j,s} \partial_s.$$

Hence the assumptions of Theorem 3.4 are fulfilled and our claim follows (since  $A$  is Noetherian).  $\square$

This last result with Theorem 2.6 gives the following:

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra. Then  $U = U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies property  $(\diamond)$  if and only if every primitive factor of  $U$  does.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.5 any graded ideal is generated by supercentral hence normal elements. Moreover every primitive ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is maximal by [13, Corollary 1.6]. Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

#### 4. PRIMITIVE FACTORS OF NILPOTENT LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

It is a standard fact that primitive factors of enveloping algebras of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras are Weyl algebras. Recall that the  $n$ th Weyl algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  is the algebra  $A_n(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $2n$  elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$  subject to the relations  $x_i y_j - y_j x_i = \delta_{ij}$ , for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

A. Bell and I. Musson showed in [2] that primitive factors of enveloping algebras of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebras are of the form  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_p(\mathbb{C})$  where  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C})$  is a Clifford algebra. We know from [12] that

$$\text{Cliff}_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{Cliff}_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{Cliff}_2(\mathbb{C}) = M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and  $\text{Cliff}_{n+2}(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Cliff}_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$  for all  $n > 2$ . The next Lemma shows that property  $(\diamond)$  is stable under tensoring with a Clifford algebra:



**Lemma 4.1.** *A  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  for all (for one)  $q$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3,  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if  $A$  does. On the other hand suppose that there exists  $q > 0$  such that  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . If  $q = 2m$  is even, then  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A = M_{2^m}(A)$  which is Morita equivalent to  $A$ . Since  $(\diamond)$  is a Morita-invariant property as the equivalence between module categories yields lattice isomorphisms of the lattice of submodules of modules, we get that  $A$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . If  $q = 2m + 1$  is odd, then  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A = M_{2^m}(A) \times M_{2^m}(A)$ . Since  $A$  is Morita equivalent to the factor  $M_{2^m}(A)$  it also satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .  $\square$

The question is hence which Weyl algebras do satisfy  $(\diamond)$ . Being a semiprime Noetherian ring of Krull dimension 1, the first Weyl algebra  $A_1(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies the property  $(\diamond)$  [4]. However, for  $n \geq 2$ , the Weyl algebra  $A_n = A_n(\mathbb{C})$  does not satisfy the property  $(\diamond)$ . In [22] J. T. Stafford constructs a simple  $A_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module which has an essential extension of Krull dimension  $n - 1$ :

**Theorem 4.2** (T.Stafford [22, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.4]). *For  $2 \leq i \leq n$  pick  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  that are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the element*

$$\alpha = x_1 + y_1 \left( \sum_2^n \lambda_i x_i y_i \right) + \sum_2^n (x_i + y_i)$$

*generates a maximal right ideal of  $A_n = A_n(\mathbb{C})$ . In particular  $A_n/x_1\alpha A_n$  is an essential extension of the simple  $A_n$ -module  $A_n/\alpha A_n$  by the module  $A_n/x_1 A_n$ , which has Krull dimension  $n - 1$ .*

Since Artinian modules are exactly the ones with Krull dimension zero, this implies that  $A_n(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies the property  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $n = 1$ . Stafford's result is a key ingredients in the proof of our main theorem. The order of Weyl algebras appearing in the primitive factors of enveloping algebras  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  has been determined by E.Herscovich in [9] and is related to the index of the underlying even part of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be a linear functional on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and set

$$\mathfrak{g}^f = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid f([x, y]) = 0, \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

be the orthogonal subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the bilinear form  $f([- , -])$ . The number

$$\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) := \inf_{f \in \mathfrak{g}^*} \dim \mathfrak{g}^f$$

is called the *index* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 4.3** (E.Herscovich [9], A.Bell & I.Musson [2]). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebra.*

- (1) *For  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  there exists a graded primitive ideal  $I(f)$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  such that*

$$U(\mathfrak{g})/I(f) \simeq \text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_p(\mathbb{C}),$$

*where  $2p = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_0^f) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  and  $q \geq 0$ .*

- (2) *For every graded primitive ideal  $P$  of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $P = I(f)$ .*

Combining Stafford's and Herscovich's results with 3.6 leads now easily to the following

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  be a finite dimensional nilpotent complex Lie superalgebra. Then  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - 2$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  By Theorem 4.3 each primitive factor of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is of the form  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_p(\mathbb{C})$  where  $2p = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{g}_0^f) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^f$ . Since the property  $(\diamond)$  is inherited by factor rings this implies together with Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.1 that  $p \leq 1$ , that is  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^f \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - 2$ , i.e.  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - 2$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  If  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0) - 2$  then the primitive factors of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  are either of the form  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C})$  or  $\text{Cliff}_q(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A_1(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus the primitive factors of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfy the property  $(\diamond)$  by Lemma 4.1. This implies together with Corollary 3.6 that  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ .  $\square$

## 5. NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS WITH ALMOST MAXIMAL INDEX

In this last section we will classify all finite dimensional complex Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with index greater or equal to  $\dim \mathfrak{g} - 2$ . It is clear that if  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is abelian. We say that a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has *almost maximal index* if  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$ .

As a first step we show that a direct product  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$  of two Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  has almost maximal index if and only if one of them is abelian and the other one has almost maximal index. Recall that the Lie bracket of the direct product  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$  is defined as

$$[(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)] := ([x_1, x_2], [y_1, y_2])$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ . For the product algebra, we have the following formula:

**Lemma 5.1.** *For Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2$  the following formula holds:*

$$\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2) = \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_1) + \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_2).$$

*In particular  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$  has almost maximal index if and only if one of the factors has almost maximal index and the other factor is Abelian.*

*Proof.* Set  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_1^* \times \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ , for all  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^f = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1^{f_1} + \dim \mathfrak{g}_2^{f_2}$ , with  $f_i = f|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} \in \mathfrak{g}_i^*$  and inclusions  $\epsilon_i : \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_1) + \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ . Note that in general  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_i) - 2n_i$  for some  $n_i \geq 0$  and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$ . Hence

$\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_1) + \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1) - 2n_1 + \dim(\mathfrak{g}_2) - 2n_2 = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 2(n_1 + n_2) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$  if and only if  $n_1 + n_2 = 1$  which shows our claim.  $\square$

The Lemma together with Proposition 4.4 implies:

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional complex nilpotent Lie algebra. Then  $U(\mathfrak{g})[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has the property  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  has the property  $(\diamond)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  has the property  $(\diamond)$ . We have

$$U(\mathfrak{g})[x_1, \dots, x_n] = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a}) = U(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a})$$

for an  $n$ -dimensional Abelian Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}$  has index at least  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 2$ . By Lemma 5.1, we have  $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) \geq \dim(\mathfrak{g}) + n - 2 = \dim(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}) - 2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$  is nilpotent, it follows by Proposition 4.4 that  $U(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{a})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . Thus  $U(\mathfrak{g})[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  also satisfies  $(\diamond)$ . Conversely, if the polynomial algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  has the property  $(\diamond)$ , then  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  also has it since it is inherited by factor rings.  $\square$

Note that in general it seems unknown whether property  $(\diamond)$  is inherited by forming polynomial rings. Lemma 5.1 also shows that we can ignore abelian direct factors for the characterization of Lie algebras with almost maximal index. The following Proposition will classify those Lie algebras.

**Proposition 5.3.** *A finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has almost maximal index if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  has an abelian ideal of codimension 1 or if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic (up to an abelian direct factor) to  $\mathfrak{h}_5$  or  $\mathfrak{h}_6$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension  $n$  and index  $n - 2$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  does not have an abelian ideal of codimension 1. There exists a functional  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^f = \text{ind}(\mathfrak{g}) = n - 2$ . By [8, 1.11.7],  $\mathfrak{g}^f$  is an abelian Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By [3, 5.1] there exists an abelian ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  of codimension 2. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\{e_3, \dots, e_n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian, the matrix of brackets  $[e_i, e_j]$  has the form

$$M = ([e_i, e_j])_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^t & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  is a  $2 \times 2$  skew-symmetric matrix,  $B$  is a  $2 \times (n - 2)$  matrix with entries in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\mathbf{0}$  is the  $(n - 2) \times (n - 2)$  zero matrix. Let

$$B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} [e_1, e_i] & [e_1, e_j] \\ [e_2, e_i] & [e_2, e_j] \end{pmatrix}$$



be a  $2 \times 2$ -minor of  $B$ , for some  $3 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . We remark that  $B_{ij}$  cannot have a triangular shape. For example if  $[e_2, e_i] = 0$ , then we could define a linear form  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $f$  is non-zero on  $[e_1, e_i]$  and  $[e_2, e_j]$  and zero outside  $\mathbb{C}[e_1, e_i] + \mathbb{C}[e_2, e_j]$ . Then  $\{e_1, e_2, e_i\}$  would be linearly independent modulo  $\mathfrak{g}^f$ , i.e.  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^f) \leq n - 3$  contradicting the hypothesis that the index is  $n - 2$ .

If one of the rows of  $B$  is zero, then  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_i$  is an abelian ideal of codimension 1 for  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ , contradicting our assumption. Hence there exist  $3 \leq i, j \leq n$  such that  $[e_1, e_i] \neq 0 \neq [e_2, e_j]$ . We will show that after a suitable base change we can assume  $i = j$ .

Assume  $i \neq j$  and suppose first that  $[e_1, e_i]$  and  $[e_1, e_j]$  are linearly independent. Note that  $[e_1, e_i]$  and  $[e_2, e_i]$  are linearly independent, otherwise if  $[e_2, e_i] = \lambda[e_1, e_i]$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then after a base change  $e_2 \leftarrow e_2 - \lambda e_1$  we have  $[e_2, e_i] = 0$  and hence  $B_{ij}$  has triangular shape which is not possible as mentioned before. This allows us to define a linear form  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  that is non-zero on  $[e_1, e_j]$  and  $[e_2, e_i]$ , and zero on  $[e_1, e_i]$ . Then  $\{e_1, e_2, e_i\}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathfrak{g}^f$  contradicting the assumption on the index. Hence we must have that  $[e_1, e_j]$  and  $[e_1, e_i]$  are linearly dependent, say  $[e_1, e_j] = \lambda[e_1, e_i]$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . After the base change  $e_j \leftarrow e_j - \lambda e_i$  we have  $[e_1, e_j] = 0$ , which gives the minor  $B_{ij}$  a triangular shape since  $[e_2, e_j] \neq 0$  and since  $i \neq j$ . But as said before, the minors  $B_{ij}$  cannot have a triangular shape. Hence  $i = j$  and without loss of generality we may assume  $i = 3$ . Moreover we can change the basis of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $e_4 = [e_1, e_3]$  and  $e_5 = [e_2, e_3]$ . Note that since  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$  is abelian,  $[e_1, e_2] \in \mathfrak{a}$  and we are left with two cases:

**Case 1** If  $[e_1, e_2] \notin \langle e_3, e_4, e_5 \rangle$ , then change the basis of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $e_6 = [e_1, e_2]$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is then equal to the direct product  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_6 \times \mathfrak{a}'$  where  $\mathfrak{a}' = \langle e_7, \dots, e_n \rangle$ .

**Case 2** If  $[e_1, e_2] \in \langle e_3, e_4, e_5 \rangle$  then note first that  $[e_1, e_2] \notin \langle e_4, e_5 \rangle$ , since otherwise if  $[e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_4 + \beta e_5$ , for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  we have after the base change  $e_1 \leftarrow e_1 + \beta e_3$  and  $e_2 \leftarrow e_2 - \alpha e_3$  that  $[e_1, e_2] = 0$ . Hence  $\mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \langle e_4, \dots, e_n \rangle$  would be an abelian ideal of codimension 1 - a contradiction to our hypothesis. Thus  $[e_1, e_2] = \alpha e_3 + \beta e_4 + \gamma e_5$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$ . After the basis change

$$e_3 \leftarrow \alpha e_3 + \beta e_4 + \gamma e_5, \quad e_4 \leftarrow \alpha^{-1} e_4, \quad e_5 \leftarrow \alpha^{-1} e_5$$

we have  $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$ ,  $[e_1, e_3] = e_4$  and  $[e_2, e_3] = e_5$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}_5 \times \mathfrak{a}'$  where  $\mathfrak{a}' = \langle e_6, \dots, e_n \rangle$  is abelian.  $\square$

*Proof of the Main Theorem 1.1.* (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) and (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) follow from Proposition 4.4. (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) follows from Proposition 5.3.  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLES

Finite dimensional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  with an abelian ideal of codimension 1 are in bijection with finite dimensional vector spaces  $V$  and nilpotent endomorphisms  $f : V \rightarrow V$ . For such data one defines  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}e \oplus V$  and  $[e, x] = f(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ . An example of this construction is given by the  $n$ -dimensional **standard filliform** Lie algebra, which is the Lie algebra on the vector space  $\mathcal{L}_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that  $[e_1, e_i] = e_{i+1}$  for all  $2 \leq i < n$  and  $[e_i, e_j] = 0$  for  $i, j \neq 1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}_n$  provides an example of a non-abelian nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  has property  $(\diamond)$ . The 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra occurs as  $\mathcal{L}_3$ .

Given an even dimensional complex vector space  $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  and an anti-symmetric bilinear form  $\omega : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , one defines the  $2n + 1$ -dimensional **Heisenberg Lie algebra** associated to  $(V, \omega)$  as  $\mathcal{H}_{2n+1} = V \oplus \mathbb{C}h$  with  $h$  being central and  $[x, y] = \omega(x, y)h$  for all  $x, y \in V$ . Note that  $\text{ind}(\mathcal{H}_{2n+1}) = 1$ . Thus  $U(\mathcal{H}_{2n+1})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $n = 1$ , i.e. for  $\mathcal{H}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3$ .

In [20] a finite dimensional Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called a **Heisenberg Lie superalgebra** if it has a 1-dimensional homogeneous center  $\mathbb{C}h = Z(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$  and such that the associated homogeneous skew-supersymmetric bilinear form  $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $[x, y] = \omega(x, y)h$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  is non-degenerated when extended to  $\mathfrak{g}/Z(\mathfrak{g})$ . On the other hand one can construct a Heisenberg Lie superalgebra on any finite-dimensional supersymplectic vector superspace  $V$  with a homogeneous supersymplectic form  $\omega$ .

By [20, page 73] if  $\omega$  is even, i.e.  $\omega(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is a Heisenberg Lie algebra and if  $\omega$  is odd, i.e.  $\omega(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_i) = 0$  for  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is Abelian. Hence  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies  $(\diamond)$  if and only if  $\omega$  is odd or  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0 \leq 3$ .

## REFERENCES

1. E. Behr, *Enveloping algebras of Lie superalgebras*, Pac. J. Math., 130 (1), 1987.
2. A. D. Bell and I. M. Musson, *Primitive factors of enveloping algebras of nilpotent Lie superalgebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **42** (1990), no. 3, 401–408. MR 1087216 (92b:17013)
3. D. Burde and M. Ceballos, *Abelian ideals of maximal dimension for solvable Lie algebras*, preprint arXiv:0911.2995 (2009)
4. P. A. A. B. Carvalho, C. Lomp, and D. Pusaş-Yılmaz, *Injective modules over down-up algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **52** (2010), no. A, 53–59. MR 2669095
5. P. A. A. B. Carvalho, I. M. Musson, *Monolithic modules over Noetherian rings*, Glasgow Math. J. **53** (2011) no. 3, 683–692.
6. G. Cauchon, *Anneaux de polynômes essentiellement bornés*. in *Ring theory (Proc. Antwerp Conf. (NATO Adv. Study Inst.), Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1978)*, 27–42, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 51, Dekker (1979)
7. R. L. Dahlberg, *Injective Hulls of Simple  $sl(2, C)$  Modules are Locally Artinian*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 107(1), 35–37 (1989)
8. J. Dixmier, *Enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 11, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, Revised reprint of the 1977 translation. MR 1393197 (97c:17010)
9. E. Herscovich, *The dixmier map for nilpotent super lie algebras*, ArXiv e-prints (2010).
10. Y. Hirano, *On injective hulls of simple modules*, J. Algebra **225** (2000), no. 1, 299–308. MR 1743663 (2001a:16008)
11. A. V. Jategaonkar, *Jacobson's conjecture and modules over fully bounded noetherian rings*, J. Algebra 30, 103–121 (1974).
12. T. Y. Lam, *The algebraic theory of quadratic forms*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc. Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1980, Revised second printing, Mathematics Lecture Note Series. MR 634798 (83d:10022)
13. E. S. Letzter, *Primitive Ideals in finite extensions of Noetherian rings*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 39, 427–435 (1989)
14. E. Matlis, *Injective Modules over Noetherian rings*, Pac. J. Math. 8, 511–528 (1958)
15. E. Matlis, *Modules With Descending Chain Condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97(3), 495–508 (1960)
16. J. C. McConnell, *The intersection theorem for a class of non-commutative rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 487–498. MR 0210738 (35 #1624)
17. J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, revised ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, With the cooperation of L. W. Small. MR 1811901 (2001i:16039)
18. I. M. Musson, *Some examples of modules over Noetherian rings*, Glasgow Math. J. **23** (1982), no. 1, 9–13. MR 641613 (83g:16029)
19. I. M. Musson, *Finitely generated, non-artinian monolithic modules*, preprint arXiv:1104.1366 (2011)
20. M. C. Rodríguez-Vallarte, G. Salgado and O. A. Sánchez-Valenzuela, *Heisenberg Lie superalgebras and their invariant superorthogonal and supersymplectic forms.*, J. Algebra 332, 717–86 (2011)
21. W. Schelter, *Essential extensions and intersection theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53(2), 328–330 (1975)
22. J. T. Stafford, *Nonholonomic modules over Weyl algebras and enveloping algebras*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), no. 3, 619–638. MR 782240 (86h:17009)
23. R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory: a handbook for study and research*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF PORTO, RUA CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

*E-mail address:* chatipoglu@alunos.fc.up.pt and clomp@fc.up.pt